

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	). F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/738,323		12/16/2003	Richard Boden	IFF-0017	7933
26259	7590	02/17/2006		EXAM	INER
	4 & TYRR		GANEY, STEVEN J		
66 E. MAIN STREET MARLTON, NJ 08053				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			3752	
					_

DATE MAILED: 02/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		E,
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/738,323	BODEN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Steven J. Ganey	3752
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO lute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal ma	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3-7 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority docume</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority docume</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a line</li> </ul>	ents have been received. ents have been received in a riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/738,323

Art Unit: 3752

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the amendment filed on January 19, 2006, which has been fully considered in this action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 and 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wefler et al in view of Orson, Sr.

Wefler et al discloses a dispensing device comprising all the featured elements of the instant invention, note active gel col. 4, line 32 and wick col. 4, lines 54-65, except for the specific oil or fragrance present in the active gel in the claimed range be percent weight and the emanator in physical contact with the end of the wick opposite the reservoir. With respect to applicant's statements of intended use, i.e. (for storing an active gel comprising an oil or fragrance present in the active gel at about 90 to 99.8 percent by weight), the apparatus of Wefler et al is capable of performing applicant's intended use and would perform equally as well with the claimed active gel and oil/fragrance percent weight range. Also, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the oil or fragrance in the percent by weight range in the active gel, since it has been held that where the

Application/Control Number: 10/738,323

Art Unit: 3752

process of evaporation.

general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPW 233. Orson, Sr. discloses a dispensing device comprising an active gel, col. 8, lines 2-37, and a wick with an emanator in physical contact with the wick, col. 6, lines 39-68. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide an emanator for the wick of Wefler et al, as taught by Orson, Sr. since with such a modification the addition of the

As to claim 7, note col. 5, lines 33 and 34 and "p-dichlorobenzene" of Wefler et al.

emanator facilitates diffusion of the oil or fragrance into the surrounding environment by the

### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 3-7 have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection. See paragraph 3.

## Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven J. Ganey whose telephone number is (571) 272-4899. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, and Thursday from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/738,323

Art Unit: 3752

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel, can be reached on (571) 272-4919. The fax phone number for this Group is (571) 273-8300.

sjg

2/6/06

STEVEN J. GANEY PRIMARY EXAMINER

2/6/06